UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,772	09/15/2003	Yoav Hollander	MR3529-22	7242
4586 ROSENBERG,	7590 02/25/200 KLEIN & LEE	EXAMINER		
	T CENTER DRIVE-S	WANG, RONGFA PHILIP		
ELLICOTT CIT	11, MID 21043	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2191		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptoactions@rklpatlaw.com ptoactions@yahoo.com

Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/661,772	HOLLANDER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PHILIP WANG	2191		

before the rining of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PHILIP WANG	2191				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	r, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
periods:  a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		n the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	•	R6(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo						
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a (	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL -324)			
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>		ripilant Amendment (	101-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	M will not be entered on b) — will	he entered and on a	valouation of			
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven.</li> </ol>		be entered and an e.	kpianation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·					
11. 🛮 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu						
Per applicant's argument that Hollander does not disclose of the Hollander clearly state the verification test is gene	rated by the invention. Per applicat	nt's argument that a te	est is not a			
scenario, the examiner considers a test is a scenario to claimed invention, the examienr consider Holllander and argument that Thompson does not disclose "selecting at constraint by resolving conflicts", the examiner considers a conflict of memory segment overlaps. Since such con	d the instant application are both rea t least one of said plurality of scena s Thompson [0002], disloses such t	ulted to testing. Per A rios according to at le eaching. Thompson	pplicant's ast one			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	=	<u> </u>				
13. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Wei Y Zhen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090217

Application No.